Global Witness com	plaint against Dalhoff Larsen	& Horneman	(DLH))
---------------------------	-------------------------------	------------	-------	---

11 November 2014

Public Summary of the Complaints Panel Report

FSC shall disassociate from Dahlhoff Larsen & Horneman A/S and all its subsidiaries.

Impartial Complaints Panel consisting of Berty van Hensbergen, Anna Jenkins and Hubert Kwisthout.

Contents

1 The Complaints Panel and its mandate		1
2	The Complaint	1
	Evaluation of the complaint 3.1 Methodology and data collection	1
	3.2 Clear and convincing evidence for disassociating with DLH Recommendation to the FSC Board of Directors	2
5	Bibliography	

1 The Complaints Panel and its mandate

FSC members Berty van Hensbergen – Economic Chamber, Anna Jenkins – Environmental Chamber (Chair of Complaints Panel) and Hubert Kwisthout – Social Chamber, were tasked by FSC to investigate the below complaint and make recommendations to the FSC Board of Directors using the FSC Procedure FSC-PRO-01-009 (V3- 0) EN.

2 The Complaint

The Global Witness complaint alleged that in 2012 DLH, one of the world's leading international timber and wood products wholesalers at the time, had purchased illegal timber worth \$304,870 harvested under Liberian Private Use Permits (PUPs), and that this timber was exported to Bangladesh, China and France. The complainant further pointed out that information was publicly available that should have alerted DLH to the serious risk that the concessions from which it was buying timber were illegal. If DLH had investigated whether the timber it intended to source had been legally harvested, including examination of the harvesting permits and verification of compliance with applicable laws and regulations in Liberia, DLH would have discovered that PUP timber was illegal or highly likely to be illegal. By purchasing PUP timber, DLH would therefore have involved itself in the illegal timber trade and violated FSC-POL-01-004 *Policy for the Association of Organizations with FSC*.

3 Evaluation of the complaint

3.1 Methodology and data collection

Key tasks were split between the Panel members with each Panel member producing reports and spread sheets and sharing these with the full Panel for discussion and addition.

Berty van Hensbergen looked at the evidence of PUPs illegality and when DLH could have concluded that there was a serious risk that the PUPs logs and timber were illegal. Anna Jenkins constructed a timeline of events, considered the role of SGS and DLH's perception of the LiberFor certification system in Liberia, looked in detail at DLH's response to the complaint and drew together and edited the Panel Report. Hubert Kwisthout looked at DLH's due diligence systems and considered whether they were they fit for purpose in addition to looking at counterevidence and counter arguments over and above those put forward by DLH itself. The Panel undertook the three key tasks to examine the evidence simultaneously.

The Panel collected and considered all documents provided by Global Witness in reference to the complaint. It also collected and considered all documents referenced and provided by DLH. In addition to publicly available documents DLH also provided the Panel with copies of confidential commercial documents such as orders, invoices, bills of lading, phytosanitary certificates, export permits, certificates of origin and a harvest permit. In addition the Panel collected numerous

ancillary documents about the situation in Liberia in relation to forest legality and forest governance. These included reports from the UN, NGOs and other entities. It also collected all available laws and regulations and codes of practice governing forest management in Liberia.

Over the period the Panel carried out the main stakeholder interviews together as a group. Individual Panel members undertook further brief stakeholder consultation. The main stakeholders interviewed were DLH and Global Witness representatives, current and past representatives of SGS LiberFor and a consultant working on forestry issues in Liberia at the time for the EU and UK Department for International Development (DFID). The Panel also consulted representatives of DLH's FSC chain of custody certification body and a European-based forest NGO. The Panel chose interviewees on the basis of the need to gain a greater perspective about key aspects of the case. These were usually stakeholders that had not otherwise published reports about their perspective of the situation.

The Panel met on two occasions at the beginning and near the end of the investigatory time period with regular contact calls throughout the period.

3.2 Clear and convincing evidence for disassociating with DLH

DLH does not deny that it bought and sold illegal timber. It states it did so unknowingly and that the evidence that proved there was a problem was not available until December 2012.

The Panel believes that DLH did not intend to trade in illegally harvested timber. However the Panel considers that the company was negligent by failing to carry out an adequate due diligence process.

Context

Liberia, by all accounts, can only be considered a high-risk country. In 2011 Liberia scored 3.2 out of 10 on Transparency International's Corruption Perception Index, rising to 41 out of 100 in 2012. While conditions were improving the risks involved in doing business in Liberia were nonetheless extremely high at that time.

Why PUPs are illegal

Initially, PUPs were seen as a promising way to generate income from land owned by smallholders, enabling them to sell some of the timber. Even amongst the NGO community this was seen as a positive development initially because the areas involved were thought to be small. However, over time it became clear that the PUP mechanism had been hijacked by corrupt and commercial interests and was being used as a means to obtain logging permits for much larger areas.

PUPs were in fact issued and operated in contravention of a variety of laws; these include forest laws and their associated policies and regulations, land laws, administrative laws and criminal laws.

PUPs were issued and activated in cases where amongst others:

- 1) The PUP holder was not the landowner and did not have permission from the landowner.
- 2) The operators were not pre-qualified to carry out commercial forestry.
- 3) The operators had failed to pay royalties and taxes for other forestry operations over which they had control.
- 4) No management plan had been submitted.
- 5) No Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) certificate had been issued by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).
- 6) No social agreement had been reached with affected communities.

The Forestry Development Authority (FDA) is required by the Forest Reform Law 2006 to develop regulations that specify the qualifications of anyone seeking to operate a PUP and in addition develop regulations for the issuance of PUPs. The FDA failed to promulgate either type of

regulation and as a result should not have been in a position to issue any PUPs until at least the required regulations were in effect.

In addition almost all of the PUPs issued (55 out of the 59 examined in detail by the Special Independent Investigating Body (SIIB) for the Liberian Government) are for some form of community forest and therefore they should not have been eligible for PUP licences.

As a result all PUPs howsoever issued can be considered illegal.

By failing to establish proper social benefit streams for forest dependent and forest owning communities the PUPs were defrauding and depriving these communities of both the short term and long term benefits from the forest that they should have received. This was theft on a grand scale that would have deprived these peoples of their forest related birthright.

According to the UN Panel of Experts the failures to administer forest resources properly were a significant factor contributing to the Liberian civil war and that similar failures could in future reinflame these tensions.

The Complaints Panel therefore views the illegality associated with PUPs as being of the most serious kind. This is because the illegal logging had actual and potential consequences leading to activities highlighted by the FSC Policy for Association in addition to illegal logging and its trade, namely violation of traditional and human rights and the destruction of high conservation values. Given the highly risky situation that Liberia presented the Panel found that extreme care and very careful due diligence should have been implemented however this did not take place in this case (see below).

DLH and its subsidiaries bought illegally harvested timber repeatedly during 2012.

The role of SGS and DLH's perception of the LiberFor system

DLH predominantly relied upon both the LiberFor system and the opinion of the SGS staff members to reassure it that the timber it was purchasing was legal. In part DLH relied upon SGS so heavily because it did not have dialogue with other stakeholders in the region.

DLH staff however had a fundamental misunderstanding about what the LiberFor system actually certified. On-the-ground DLH staff covering Liberia relied upon the system as a verification of legality when in fact it was only at best a verification of origin and tax payment despite SGS's own description of it being a verification of 'Legal Origin' system. The understanding of what was legal in Liberia therefore was not helped by the somewhat mixed message sent out by SGS/LiberFor with regard to what actually constituted 'Legal Origin'.

DLH's due diligence systems

Although the most recent version of DLH's Good Supplier Programme (GSP) – found to be EUTR compliant by the Danish Competent Authority – was developed around the period under consideration, it has transpired that this was not used in Liberia during 2012. The 2006 GSP used in Liberia at the time is very unlikely to be EUTR compliant although the Panel did not carry out an analysis to that end.

While DLH through the development of its new GSP, was taking steps towards creating a responsible buying policy, there are no indications that it took such precautions on the ground in its dealings in Liberia.

From the documentary evidence the Panel received from DLH it is unclear whether all aspects of its 2006 GSP were carried out or carried out in a sufficiently thorough manner. Documentary evidence was largely not produced at the time and what does exist is minimal and incomplete. Purchasing decisions were made at the local level by the procurement manager for Liberia (based in Cote d'Ivoire) and did not require sign off by the CSR department for final approval or other

support. DLH was unable to provide the Panel with documented evidence that it had followed its due diligence procedure for any supplier in Liberia.

The Panel considers that it was a high-risk strategy to leave the decision in the hands of a single person given the context of an extremely risky country such as Liberia. The CSR department had little contact with its procurement manager regarding Liberia for several months of the year (there was little contact between April and September 2012). There is evidence that the procurement manager could not countenance that anything could go wrong with the LiberFor system in place and were unable to accept that there were problems even after the publication of the September 2012 Global Witness report detailing the issues. The conduct of the procurement manager for Liberia, in terms of applying the GSP and company environmental policy, was discovered to be of great concern in September 2012 by DLH itself and it appears he eventually lost his job over it.

The company therefore failed on several accounts: lack of clear adequate standards, lack of robust procedures, lack of on-the ground implementation of what procedures that were in place and lack of oversight.

When could DLH have concluded that there was a serious risk that the timber it was buying was illegal?

The Panel finds that there was sufficient publicly available evidence to warrant ceasing trade with Liberia in late April 2012 with another opportunity at the June/July Chatham House illegal logging meeting that year in London, a meeting regularly attended by DLH.

As 2012 progressed the case for ceasing trading under the precautionary principle grew under the weight of the growing evidence publicly presented by different sources.

The Panel found little evidence that DLH studied many relevant reports at the time. While certain news reports and at least one report were studied most of the most relevant NGO reports and other key reports were only sent to the DLH CSR Vice-President for the first time in September 2012 in the wake of the Global Witness report published at that time.

In awaiting the publication of the official investigation into PUPs that published its report in December 2012 before acting DLH was at best not exercising sufficient due diligence, not adhering to the precautionary principle and at worst negligent. Given this the Panel found that it was appropriate that DLH should face consequences under the FSC Policy for Association in the form of disassociation from FSC until such a time as FSC can be assured that both DLH's on paper policies and in practice procedures are sufficient to ensure that the timber it purchases meets the Policy for Association and have been independently verified as such.

4 Recommendation to the FSC Board of Directors

FSC shall disassociate from Dahlhoff Larsen & Horneman A/S (DLH) and all its subsidiaries

The standard of certainty for this decision is clear and convincing evidence.

Re-association shall take place once thorough third party verified evidence is received by FSC that confirms that DLH's due diligence systems are sufficiently robust as to be capable of meeting FSC's Policy for Association. The third party verified evidence shall show that the due diligence systems are both sufficiently robust on paper and demonstrably proven to be working in practice, on-the-ground, across a range of different operating locations (a minimum of three locations in high risk areas). The Panel recommends that re-association with FSC may take place at any time after any Board decision to disassociate; no waiting or penalty period is recommended.

The Panel suggests that ASI conducts the verification.

The Panel draws the Board's attention to the fact that it has not looked at whether the current 2012 DLH GSP due diligence system is sufficient to meet FSC's Policy for Association requirements. The Panel does not consider that compliance with EUTR automatically confers compliance with the FSC Policy for Association for the simple reason that the EUTR is only concerned with timber legality and not the other additional aspects of the Policy for Association.

5. Bibliography (publically available documents)

The sources of information used by DLH's Complaints Panel during their investigation are listed below:

- BBC News (2012) Liberia rainforest: '60% handed to logging companies'. *BBC News*. 4 September 2012.

http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-africa-19469571

- BBC News (2012) Liberia's failed logging promises. *BBC News*. 4 September 2012. http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-africa-19469570
- BSI & WWF UK GFTN (2012) PAS 2021:2012 Exercising due diligence in establishing the legal origin if timber and timber products Guide to Regulation (EU) No 995/2010. British Standards Institution. London. November 2012.

http://shop.bsigroup.com/Navigate-by/PAS/PAS-2021/

Carey, R., & S. Schrader (2012) The LiberFor tracking system. State of Implementation.
 SGS LiberFor PowerPoint presentation. 2 May 2012.

http://forestgovernanceforum.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/04/sgs-liberfor.pdf

- Chatham House (2011). Liberia needs to do more to cut resources that could fuel conflicts, UN Panel warns. *Illegal Logging Portal*. Chatham House, London. 6 January 2011.

http://www.illegal-logging.info/content/liberia-needs-do-more-cut-resources-could-fuel-conflicts-un-Panel-warns?it_id=5101&it=news

- Critical Ecosystem Partnership Fund (2014) Guinean Forests of West Africa, Biodiversity Hotspot.

http://www.cepf.net/resources/hotspots/africa/Pages/Guinean-Forests-of-West-Africa.aspx

- De Wit, P. (2012) Land Rights, Private Use Permits and Forest Communities. Land Commission of Liberia. April 2012.

http://eeas.europa.eu/delegations/liberia/documents/press corner/20130916 01.pdf

- De Wit P. (2012b) Land Inventory and Land Management Planning in Sinoe County. Land Commission of Liberia. September 2012.

http://eeas.europa.eu/delegations/liberia/documents/press corner/20130916 02.pdf

 Dietrich, C., et al (2011) Final report of the Panel of Experts on Liberia submitted pursuant to paragraph 6 (f) of Security Council resolution 1961 (2010). S/2011/757. United Nations Security Council. 7 December 2011

http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=S/2011/757

De Wit, P. (2012) Land Rights, Private Use Permits and Forest Communities. Land Commission of Liberia. April 2012.

http://eeas.europa.eu/delegations/liberia/documents/press corner/20130916 01.pdf

- De Wit P. (2012) Land Inventory and Land Management Planning in Sinoe County. Land Commission of Liberia. September 2012.

http://eeas.europa.eu/delegations/liberia/documents/press corner/20130916 02.pdf

 Dietrich, C., et al (2011) Final report of the Panel of Experts on Liberia submitted pursuant to paragraph 6 (f) of Security Council resolution 1961 (2010). S/2011/757. United Nations Security Council. 7 December 2011

http://www.un.org/ga/search/view_doc.asp?symbol=S/2011/757

- Dietrich C., *et al* (2012) Final report of the Panel of Experts on Liberia submitted pursuant to paragraph 5 (f) of Security Council resolution 2025 (2011). S/2012/901. United Nations Security Council. 4 December 2012.

http://daccess-dds-

ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/N12/588/46/PDF/N1258846.pdf?OpenElement

- DLH (undated) Environmental Policy – specific targets and results.

[NB: Website states last Environmental Policy revision took place in 2010.] See: http://www.dlh.com/CSR/Environment/Environment_policy.aspx]
Policy:

http://www.dlh.com/CSR/~/media/files/Shared%20files%20cross%20websites/Corporate%2 Oresponsibility/Environment/DLH%20Environmental%20Policy%202010.ashx

DLH (undated) CSR and Environment. Webpage.

http://www.dlh.com/CSR.aspx

- DLH (2007) DLH and Corporate Social Responsibility – for the forests and for the future. CSR Report 2007.

http://www.dlh.com/CSR/Downloads/~/media/files/Shared%20files%20cross%20websites/Corporate%20responsibility/CSR%20report/DLH_CSR_UK.ashx

DLH (2007-2010) Corporate Social Responsibility Reports.

http://www.dlh.com/CSR/Downloads/Publications.aspx

DLH (2007-2013) Corporate Social Responsibility Reports.

http://www.dlh.com/CSR/Downloads/Publications.aspx

DLH (2008) 100 Years of Passion for Wood 1908 – 2008. DLH.

http://www.dlh.com/About-the-DLH-

Group/History/~/media/files/Website%20specific%20files/GROUP/Marketing/8393 DLH Historiebog_UK.ashx

DLH (2011) Green Guide. A basic guide to verification and certification. May 2011

http://www.dlh.com/CSR/Downloads/~/media/files/Shared%20files%20cross%20websites/ Corporate%20responsibility/Guides%20to%20certification/Green guide/DLH Green Guide guide to verification certification UK LR.ashx

- DLH (2011) Corporate Social Responsibility Report 2011 – Improving due diligence.

 $\frac{\text{http://www.dlh.com/CSR/Downloads/}{\sim}/\text{media/files/Shared\%20files\%20cross\%20websites/}{\text{Corporate\%20responsibility/CSR\%20report/DLH_CSR_Report_2011_Global_Compact.ash}}{\underline{x}}$

- DLH (2011) *DLH Environmental Policy*. [Leaflet-style summary of full policy – see 'DLH undated a' above]. Dated in file name only.

http://www.dlh.com/CSR/Environment/~/media/files/Shared%20files%20cross%20websites/Corporate%20responsibility/Environment/Policy/DLH_environment_policy_2011_UK1.ashx

- DLH (2012) Annex to DLH's Environment Policy. August 2012

http://www.dlh.com/CSR/Downloads/Publications.aspx

DLH (2013) Global Witness criticises DLH. Statement by DLH on 10 October 2013. 10
 October 2013.

http://www.dlh.com/News/Environmental-news/2013/~/media/files/Shared%20files%20cross%20websites/Corporate%20responsibility/Press_release/Global_Witness_criticises_DLH_Statement_DLH_10October2013.ashx

- Dorbor Jallah, J., et al (2012) Report on the Issuance of Public Use Permits (PUPs). Report of the Special Independent Investigating Body (SIIB) presented to the President of The Republic of Liberia, H.E. Ellen Johnson Sirleaf. 19 December 2012.

http://www.illegal-logging.info/sites/default/files/uploads/SIIBReportonPUPs.pdf

- Dorliae, K., et al (2011) Report of Review conducted by the Economic Affairs Department of PUP-9: The People of Sallouyou Section (Teemor #2). Ministry of Justice. 18 July 2011.
- European Union (2012) Voluntary partnership agreement between the European Union and the Republic of Liberia on forest law enforcement, governance and trade in timber products to the European Union. *Official Journal of the European Union* L 191/3. Brussels. 19 July 2012.
- European Parliament & Council of the European Union (2010) Regulation (EU) No 995/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council, laying down the obligations of operators who place timber and timber products on the market. Official Journal of the European Union. 20 October 2014.

http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/;ELX_SESSIONID=GtlgJLzJzp7x74G68WGg267wBLKcqLdlWdr81JXl0dnlSGd0X4C6!1469323985?uri=CELEX:32010R0995

- Executive Mansion (2012) President Sirleaf Appoints Special Independent Investigative Body to Probe Issuance of PUPs; Suspends FDA Managing Director. Executive Mansion of the Republic of Liberia. 31 August 2012. Monrovia, Liberia. http://www.emansion.gov.lr/2press.php?news_id=2302&related=7&pg=sp#sthash.enmB5iHh.dpuf
- Executive Mansion (2013) Liberian President Issues Executive Order No. 44, Declaring Moratorium On Private Use Permits to Protect Nation's Forests. Executive Mansion of the Republic of Liberia. 5 January 2013. Monrovia, Liberia.

http://www.emansion.gov.lr/2press.php?news_id=2472&related=7&pg=sp#sthash.6fLgX7Z_B.dpuf

- FERN (2012) Liberian PUPs sidestep VPA reform. *EU Forest Watch*. Issue 167. January 2012. http://www.fern.org/sites/fern.org/files/FW%20167%20January%202012_0.pdf
- Ford T, (2012) Liberia's hasty forest sell-off risks more conflict. *theguardian.com*, London, 5 July 2012

http://www.theguardian.com/global-development/2012/jul/05/liberia-forest-sell-off-risks-conflict

- Forestry Development Authority (2006) *National forestry policy and implementation strategy.* Monrovia 2006.
- Forestry Development Authority (2007a) LIBERIA: Code of Forest Harvesting Practices.
 Monrovia, 10 September 2007.
- Forestry Development Authority (2007b) *Ten Core Regulations*. Monrovia, 7 September 2007
- Forestry Development Authority (2009) *Guidelines for Forest Management Planning in Liberia*. Monrovia, July 2009
- Forestry Development Authority (2011) Regulations to the Community Rights Law of 2009 with Respect to Forest Lands Monrovia, 30 June 2011.
- Forestry Development Authority (2012) Draft Report of the Board of Directors on the inquiry regarding the issuance of Private Use Permits (PUPs) with Appendices. Document unsigned and undated. Monrovia.

Report (NB: copy and paste the links below into internet browser): http://loggingoff.info/sites/loggingoff.info/files/Board%27s%20Final%20Draft%20Report%20 to%20President%20Sirleaf%20%281%29.pdf
Appendices (NB: copy and paste the links below into internet browser): http://loggingoff.info/sites/loggingoff.info/files/Final%20Appendix%20to%20Board%27s%20 Report%20to%20the%20President%20%281%29.pdf

- FSC (2009/2011) Policy for the Association of Organizations with FSC. FSC-POL-01-004 V2-0 EN. Bonn. July 2009 and September 2011
- GFTN (Nov 2012) PAS2021 Due Diligence Guide GFTN BSI http://shop.bsigroup.com/forms/PASs/PAS-2021/
- Global Witness; Save My Future Foundation (SAMFU); and Sustainable Development Institute (SDI) (2012a) *Spoiled: Liberia's Private Use Permits.* Global Witness; Save My Future Foundation; and Sustainable Development Institute. August 2012.

(NB: copy and paste the link below into internet browser)

http://loggingoff.info/sites/loggingoff.info/files/Spoiled%20-%20Liberia%27s%20Private%20Use%20Permits%20-%20Global%20Witness%20SAMFU%20and%20SDI%20-%20Aug%202012%20%281%29.pdf - Global Witness; Save My Future Foundation (SAMFU); and Sustainable Development Institute (SDI) (2012) Signing Their Lives Away: Liberia's Private Use Permits and the Destruction of Community-Owned Rainforest. Global Witness; Save My Future Foundation; and Sustainable Development Institute. 4 September 2012.

http://www.globalwitness.org/sites/default/files/library/Signing%20their%20Lives%20away% 20-%20Liberian%20Private%20Use%20Permits%20-%204%20Sept%202012%20U_0.pdf

- Global Witness (2013) DLH and imports of illegal Liberian timber into Europe. 7 October 2013 published 10 October 2013. Global Witness, London.
 http://www.globalwitness.org/sites/default/files/library/DLH%20and%20Liberian%20timber%20Oct%202013%20FINAL.pdf
- Government of Liberia (2006) National Forestry Reform Law of 2006. Part II of Title 23 of the Liberian Code of Laws Revised.
- Government of Liberia (2009) The Community Rights Law with respect to Forest Lands.
 Part III of Title 23 of the Liberian Code of Laws Revised.
- Government of Liberia (2013) Executive Order No. 44. Protecting Liberian Forests by a Temporary Moratorium on Private Use Permits. 4 January 2013.
- Jackson, K. (2012) Private Use Permits challenge the Voluntary Partnership Agreement between the EU and Liberia and show problems in Liberia's forestry sector. Letter to H. E. Atilio Pacifici, Ambassador, European Union Delegation to Liberia. 12 January 2012. Non Governmental Organization Coalition for Liberia, Monrovia, Liberia. http://loggingoff.info/sites/loggingoff.info/files/Letter%20and%20Briefing%20on%20PUPs.pdf
- Karnwea, H. (2013) Letter to the people of Korninga Chiefdom cancelling PUP 17. REF:MD/31/2013/-5. Forestry Development Authority, Monrovia, Liberia. 9 September 2013. In: Taylor, S. (2014) Formal complaint regarding DLH's violation of FSC-POL-01-004 Policy for the Association of Organizations with FSC. Letter to Kim Carstensen, FSC International Centre. London 20 February 2014. p28-29.
- Karnwea, H. (2013) Letter to the people of Sallouyou Section cancelling PUP 9. REF:MD/31/2013/-1. Forestry Development Authority, Monrovia, Liberia. 9 September 2013. In: Taylor, S. (2014) Formal complaint regarding DLH's violation of FSC-POL-01-004 Policy for the Association of Organizations with FSC. Letter to Kim Carstensen, FSC International Centre. London 20 February 2014. p26-27.
- LiberiaEITI (undated) Updated Companies Database.xlsx.
 https://www.scribd.com/doc/180168789/Updated-Companies-Database-xlsx
- Legislature of Liberia (2012) Senate Asks FDA To Restore Private Use Permit (PUP) To Timber Association. 23 August 2012.
 - http://legislature.gov.lr/content/senate-asks-fda-restore-private-use-permit-pup-timber-association

Loggingoff.info (2012) NGO Coalition letter to the European Union on Private Use Permits.
 [Page actually contains four documents including that featured in the title] Loggingoff.info.
 20 April 2012.

Documents: http://loggingoff.info/document/ngo-coalition-letter-european-union-private-use-permits

Date of upload to Logging off: http://loggingoff.info/countries/liberia?page=5

- Moore Stephens LLP (2013) Liberia extractive industries transparency initiative (LEITI) post award process audit final report. May 2013
 http://www.leiti.org.lr/uploads/2/1/5/6/21569928/leiti post award process audit final report.pdf
- NGO Coalition for Liberia (2010) Will the Forest Sector Reform Make a Difference? FDA Continues to Violate the National Forestry Reform Law with Impunity. Briefing Paper No. 01/2010

http://loggingoff.info/sites/loggingoff.info/files/Lib%20Will%20Forest%20Sector%20Reform%20Make%20a%20Difference Final.pdf

- NGO Coalition for Liberia (2010) Press Release September 13 2010
 http://loggingoff.info/sites/loggingoff.info/files/Lib%20PRESSRelease_NGO%20coalition_%20bid%20premium%20Final1.pdf
- Profor (2013) Lessons from the Establishment of a Timber Chain of Custody System in Liberia. Profor website. 28 February 2013.

http://www.profor.info/node/2161

- Profor (2013) Supporting the Development of Liberia's Chain of Custody System. Profor website. 29 January 2013.

http://www.profor.info/node/1910

- Resource Extraction Monitoring (REM) (2012) Liberia Capacity Building for Independent Monitoring of Forest Law Enforcement and Governance (IM-FLEG). Cambridge. June 2012.
- SDI (2010) *LIBERIA The Promise Betrayed*. Sustainable Development Institute. Liberia. January 2010.
- Smith, W. et al (2010) Final report of the Panel of Experts on Liberia submitted pursuant to resolution 1521 (2003) concerning Liberia, and in accordance with paragraph 9 of Security Council resolution 1903 (2009). S/2010/609. United Nations Security Council. 17 December 2010.

http://daccess-dds-

nv.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/N10/632/52/PDF/N1063252.pdf?OpenElement

 Taylor, S. (2014) Formal complaint regarding DLH's violation of FSC-POL-01-004 Policy for the Association of Organizations with FSC. Letter to Kim Carstensen, FSC International Centre. Global Witness, London. 20 February 2014.

http://www.globalwitness.org/sites/default/files/Formal%20complaint%20to%20FSC%20reg arding%20DLH FINAL.pdf

- Transparency International (2011) *Corruption Perceptions Index 2011*. Berlin. November 2011.

http://www.transparency.org/cpi2011/results

 Transparency International (2012) Corruption Perceptions Index 2012. Berlin. December 2012.

http://www.transparency.org/cpi2012/results

- Unicef (2014) Country Statistics, Liberia. Website.

http://www.unicef.org/infobycountry/liberia statistics.html

- VPA Pre-JIC (2012) Aide Memoire of First Meeting of the Pre-Joint Implementation Committee, (pre-JIC) Monrovia, 27 – 28 March 2012.

http://www.euflegt.efi.int/documents/10180/23382/Aide+Memoire+on+the+occasion+of+the+first+pre+Joint+Implementation+Committee+meeting,%20Liberia/1c867798-c24a-4546-b624-9bfd7e488ad5

 Vinck, P. et al (2011) Talking Peace, A Population-Based Survey on Attitudes about Security, Dispute Resolution, and Post-Conflict Reconstruction in Liberia. Human Rights Centre Univ. of California, Berkeley. June 2011

http://hhi.harvard.edu/sites/default/files/publications/publications%20-%20vulnerable%20-%20talking%20peace.pdf

Yiah J. (2012) Private Use Permits (PUPs) and the Voluntary Partnership Agreement (VPA): Do They Demonstrate Legally Recognised Rights? Sustainable Development Institute, Liberia. Presented at Chatham House 7 June or 6 July 2012