



Declaration of Consent

Providing this consent, I agree to participate in the Asia Pacific Regional Forest Stewardship Standard (AP RFSS) pilot testing (the Standard Operating Procedure for pilot testing of FSC-STD-RAP-01-2020 V-1 FSC Regional Forest Stewardship Standard for Smallholders is attached hereto as **Annex 1**) and allow to FSC Group¹ to use my specific business or/and personal data for the purposes stated below.

Who is the Organisation?

The FSC International Center gGmbH (hereinafter '**FSC IC**'), a German limited liability company with its registered office at Adenauerallee 134, 53113 Bonn, Germany, and FSC Global Development GmbH (hereinafter '**FSC GD**'), a German limited liability company with its registered office at Adenauerallee 134, 53113 Bonn, Germany, (hereinafter FSC IC and FSC GD are collectively referred to as the '**Organisation**').

The information provided by me may include:

- Company name
- FSC certification code (format XX-COC/FM-xxxxxx)
- Names of users of FSC Certified Products Portal
- Email addresses of users of FSC Certified Products Portal
- Product Identification Type
- Product name, id
- Or other similar product related data
- Country of residence

I guarantee that have the right to share the information on the Certificate Holders (CH) above and I have collected the corresponding consents and authorization from the CH to share the information with the Organisation and to publish the information in the aggregated anonymized form.

Why would Organisation like to use my information?

The Organisation is collecting data within the pilot test of the Asia Pacific Regional Forest Stewardship Standard (AP RFSS) and comparing requirements with the National Forest Stewardship Standards (NFSS) within the countries of scope, including India, Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam. Furthermore, the data is collected to analyze cost and benefits of the AP RFSS in comparison to the default certification process. The data will be used by FSC International Center gGmbH to evaluate the consistency with the FSC Principles and Criteria as well as the effectiveness of the AP RFSS to reduce certification costs for smallholders and communities.

¹ **FSC Group** stands for **Forest Stewardship Council A.C.**, registered in Calle Margarita Maza de Juárez #422, Col. Centra, 68000 Oaxaca, Mexico ("FSC AC"), **FSC Global Development GmbH**, registered in Adenauerallee 134, 53113 Bonn, Germany ("FSC GD"), **FSC International Center gGmbH**, registered in Adenauerallee 134, 53113 Bonn, Germany ("FSC IC") and **ASI – Assurance Services International GmbH**, registered in Friedrich-Ebert-Allee 69, 53113 Bonn, Germany ("ASI")



Several Certification Bodies (CB) participate in the AP RFSS pilot test. FSC IC intends to sign the Framework Agreement with the participating CBs. The data is collected and then transferred to the Organisation by the CB on behalf of the Organisation.

The Organisation acts as a controller of the specific business or/and personal data, and the CB acts as a processor of such data.

What will Organisation do with my information?

I will provide the data via designated format and it will be processed by the authorized staff of the Organisation. The data will be published in the aggregated anonymized form strictly in accordance with the Purpose of the processing described above.

Update of the data

In case of changes to the provided data I am obligated to inform the Organisation about the changes without undue delay. Any changes should be submitted online via the email to Community & Family Forests Program (CFF) communityfamilyforests@fsc.org.

Data retention period

The Organization will keep the data for 10 years following the signature of this Declaration of Consent.

Confidentiality of the data

The data collected via AP RFSS pilot testing and shared afterwards is confidential business data. Every recipient that will have access to the data (CB, international staff and regional staff, third party supporting with the pilot testing, if any) is bound by existing confidentiality agreements with the Organisation - either through an engagement contract with the Organisation, or through the *Service and License Agreement for the FSC Accreditation Program*, that obliges all staff members of the CB as well as through the *Regional Consultants Service and License Agreement* - to treat this data confidentially.

Attestation of the data provided

I hereby affirm that the data provided is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and that I am authorised to share this data.

How to withdraw my consent

I am aware that I can withdraw at any time the consent that I am giving in this form. I can do this by sending the e-mail specifying my wish to withdraw the consent to: communityfamilyforests@fsc.org.



Forest Stewardship Council®

For and on behalf of the CH

Place, Date

v2024-10-15

FSC International Center gGmbH • fsc.org • FSC® F000100

Adenauerallee 134 • 53113 Bonn • Germany

T +49 (0) 228 367 66 0 • F +49 (0) 228 367 66 30

Geschäftsführerinnen / Managing Directors: Ms. Dr. Subhra Bhattacharjee und/and Ms. Marion Barriskell Handelsregister | Commercial Register: Bonn HRB12589





Forest Stewardship Council®



**Standard Operating Procedure for pilot
testing of FSC-STD-RAP-01-2020 V-1
FSC Regional Forest Stewardship
Standard for Smallholders**

PART II – Pilot Test Implementation

Introduction

In November 2021 the FSC-STD-RAP-01-2020 V-1.0, *Regional Forest Stewardship Standard for Smallholders in Asia and Pacific* (hereafter AP RFSS) was approved by FSC International. Focussing on the user group of very small forest owners managing forest plantations with management units (hereafter MU) below 20 hectares, the standard addresses most small forest holdings in Southeast Asia, where the average property of land rarely exceeds five hectares.

Keeping the principles and criteria as laid out in the FSC-STD-01-001, *FSC Principles and Criteria for Forest Stewardship*, changes were made on the indicator level in comparison to the FSC-STD-60-004, *International Generic Indicators (IGI)*, to reduce the complexity of requirements for smallholders, where seen as not relevant and to introduce more flexibility to demonstrate conformity.

To understand the impact regarding the integrity of the FSC system on the one side, and the intended outcome to reduce costs for auditing and standard conformity on the other, the Policy and Standard Committee (PSC) recommended to the FSC Board of Directors (hereafter BoD) the AP RFSS to be pilot tested. Hence, the FSC BoD mandated FSC International to roll out the standard in a controlled manner, referring to FSC-POL-01-001, *FSC Policy for Pilot Tests of Draft FSC Standards*, in the four countries of scope – India, Indonesia, Thailand and Vietnam. Once evidence on its robustness and effectiveness has been given through the pilot test, the geographic scope of the AP RFSS can be expanded to Southeast Asia and beyond.

Being a nationwide pilot, the number of possible participants is unlimited, either as new certification candidates or existent certificate holders (hereafter CH). The pilot test duration is foreseen for five years, starting as of March 2022, with a first point of intervention and possible course correction after year three, when a progress report will be evaluated by the FSC BoD.

Regulating the pilot test, the first of two Standard Operating Procedures (hereafter SOP), Part I – Approval Process describes the process for national adaptation and approval of the AP RFSS to become effective, being used as a legitimate standard to be audited against and to take the certification decision by an FSC-accredited Certification Body (hereafter CB).

The second SOP, Part II – Pilot Test Implementation sets the requirements and provides the instructions to CB and CH for the onboarding process, to participate successfully in the pilot test phase and implement it on the ground. The monitoring and evaluation are the vital parts of this process, where CB will be collecting and transferring data to FSC International for analysis and conclusion. For the progress report after year three, data will also be collected by CH to provide feedback on socioeconomic parameters to understand the potential impact on certification costs.

The role of the FSC National Representatives is further outlined in both documents, to steer and support the implementation of the pilot in the respective countries.

Contents

| | |
|-------------------------------|----|
| Introduction | 2 |
| A. Scope | 4 |
| B. References | 4 |
| C. Effective date | 5 |
| D. Process | 6 |
| 1. Preparatory phase | 6 |
| 2. Implementation phase | 7 |
| ANNEXES | 11 |

A. Scope

This SOP is a set of instructions to assist involved parties to carry out routine operations relating to the implementation of the pilot test of the AP RFSS.

All involved parties, as listed below, shall conform with the applicable instructions described in this SOP.

1. CB active in India, Indonesia, Thailand, or Vietnam.
2. Organizations with MU below 20 hectares in size classified as either plantation, woodlot, or agroforestry (hereafter referred to as 'eligible Organization').
3. FSC National Representatives in India, Indonesia, Thailand, and Vietnam.
4. FSC International, specifically the Performance and Standard Unit (PSU), the Community and Family Forest program (hereafter CFF) and the Regional Policy Manager for Asia and Pacific.

B. References

The following documents are relevant for the application of this document. For references without a version number, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies:

- FSC-POL-01-001, *FSC Policy for Pilot Tests of Draft FSC Standards*
- FSC-STD-RAP-01-2020, *FSC Regional Forest Stewardship Standard for Smallholders*
- FSC-STD-RAP-IDN-01-2022 Plantations, *The FSC Forest Stewardship Standard for Smallholders in Indonesia*
- FSC-STD-RAP-IND-01-2022 Plantations, *The FSC Forest Stewardship Standard for Smallholders in India*
- FSC-STD-RAP-VNM-01-2022 Plantations, *The FSC Forest Stewardship Standard for Smallholders in Vietnam*
- FSC-STD-RAP-THA-01-2024 Plantations, *The FSC Forest Stewardship Standard for Smallholders in Thailand*
- FSC-STD-20-007, *Forest Management Evaluations*
- FSC-STD-20-007a, *Forest management evaluations addendum – Forest certification reports*
- INT-STD-20-007_53, *Interpretations of the normative framework (FOREST MANAGEMENT)*
- FSC-STD-30-005, *FSC Standard for Group Entities in Forest Management Groups*
- FSC-STD-IDN-02.1-2020, *The FSC National Forest Stewardship Standard of Indonesia*
- FSC-STD-VN-01-2018, *The FSC National Forest Stewardship Standard of Vietnam*
- FSC-STD-IND-01-2022, *The Forest Stewardship Standard of India*
- FSC-STD-THA-01-2024, *The FSC Forest Stewardship Standard for the Kingdom of Thailand*
- Standard Operating Procedure for the implementation of the FSC-STD-RAP-01-2020 V-1, *FSC Regional Forest Stewardship Standard for Smallholders, Part I – Approval Process*

C. Effective date

The SOP Part II – Pilot Test Implementation is effective upon approval by the Director of PSU.

Approval date: 01 April 2022 (updated on 26 September 2022 and on 7 November 2024)

Period of validity: five years until 31 March 2027

D. Process

Eligible Organizations participating in the pilot test will be audited against the nationally adapted AP RFSS. Organizations not participating in the pilot test will be audited against the National Forest Stewardship Standard (hereafter NFSS) of the respective country according to their scope of certification.

NOTE: Participation in the AP RFSS Pilot Test by using the applicable nationally adapted version is voluntary for eligible applicants and certificate holders (CHs) in the countries of India, Indonesia, and Vietnam. In Thailand, the use of the nationally adapted version has been stipulated as mandatory by the Standard Development Group for Management Units (MUs) smaller than 20 ha, hence certification bodies (CBs) who want to provide relevant certification services for those MUs are required to take part in this pilot test accordingly.

Exclusivity clause

Eligible organizations participating in the pilot test cannot implement, and therefore be audited against, any other policy solution provided by FSC International in parallel, such as the Continuous Improvement Procedure, during the time of pilot participation or until further notice by FSC International.

The language in which the pilot test documents have to be prepared is English.

1. Preparatory phase

Exploring the interest

1.1. The FSC National Representative shall:

- a) translate the approved nationally adapted AP RFSS with its annexes to national / local language.
- b) reach out to potential participants, i.e., CB, CH and supporting organizations, to explore their interest in participating in the pilot test in the country.
- c) invite the interested parties to national workshops for informing on the nationally adapted AP RFSS and the pilot test, including the requirements for successful pilot test participation.

National Workshops

1.2. The FSC National Representative shall implement national workshops (in person or virtual) for CB and eligible Organizations. The national workshops shall be organized in modules, having a general session for all participants (CB, CH and supporting organizations) focus on the pilot test, followed by a consecutive session, only for CB, focusing on detailed explanation and calibration on how forest management evaluation shall take place, including reporting requirements.

1.3. As a pre-condition for participating in the pilot test, CB and eligible Organizations shall attend one national workshop.

- 1.4. The CB and the eligible Organization shall agree on their mutual interest to participate in the pilot test, by submitting the filled in 'Registry Information' (see Annex I) to PSU, including the Declaration of Consent signed by the eligible Organizations.

Registration with PSU

- 1.5. Upon acceptance of the interest, PSU will:
 - a) register the process together with the contact details of the interested parties.
 - b) reach out to the interested CB to provide the legal agreement for the pilot test (see Clause 1.6).

Legal Agreement

- 1.6. A multiyear Framework Agreement with Terms of References (hereafter ToR) will be set up between the CB and PSU.
- 1.7. PSU will remunerate the additional efforts for auditing and reporting. The default remuneration rate is 300 USD per diem and auditor.
- 1.8. PSU will set up the ToRs, listing the eligible Organizations to be audited, the planned timeline for auditing, the type of audit and the estimated per diems. Deliveries to the ToR are described in the Clauses 2.6 – 2.11 below.
- 1.9. New eligible Organizations can be added to the pilot test by the CB upon request from PSU. PSU will develop a ToR for each pilot test audit.
- 1.10. The CB shall not charge the eligible Organization any extra costs for participating in the pilot test, other than the regular audit costs.

2. Implementation phase

General requirements

- 2.1 Pilot test participants shall conform with this SOP including its annexes.
- 2.2 FSC reserves the right to:
 - a) attend any part of the pilot test as an observer.
 - b) nominate its representative to observe the pilot test.
 - c) publish a report on the aggregated results of the pilot tests, which may include observations about the cost of implementation of the nationally adapted AP RFSS, as well as details about the ease or difficulty of implementation.
- 2.3 The CB shall participate in meetings, coordinated by PSU, on monitoring and evaluation of the pilot test to share the experience on the ground and contribute to review and improve the set up and process, as well as to flag relevant findings and results.

Evaluating conformity with the AP RFSS

- 2.4 The CB shall conduct the evaluation and make the certification decision in accordance with the applicable requirements in FSC-STD-20-007.

NOTE: In case of surveillance evaluation, interpretation INT-STD-20-007_53*¹ applies in respect to FSC-STD-20-007a, *Forest management evaluations addendum – Forest certification*

reports, section 7, Box 2., clause 2.1^{*2}, as required under FSC-STD-20-007, *Forest management evaluations*, clause 6.3.7^{*3}.

- 2.4.1 The CB shall evaluate individual eligible Organizations and forest management groups solely comprised of MU below 20 ha against the nationally adapted AP RFSS by applying the auditing requirements for SLIMF as outlined in FSC-STD-20-007.

Endnotes *1, *2 and *3, see Annex I

- 2.4.2 The CB shall evaluate forest management groups consisting of eligible Organizations and MU larger than 20 hectares as follows:
- a) classify the MU included in the scope of certification as sets of 'like' MU by size class according to b) - d) (below).
 - b) the class with MU below 20 hectares shall be evaluated against the nationally adapted AP RFSS by applying the auditing requirements for SLIMF as outlined in FSC-STD-20-007.
 - c) the class with MU between 20 hectares and the national SLIMF size threshold shall be evaluated against the SLIMF indicators of the NFSS by applying the auditing requirements for SLIMF as outlined in FSC-STD-20-007.
 - d) the class with MU above the national SLIMF size thresholds shall be evaluated against the applicable requirements as stated in the NFSS.

NOTE: SLIMF requirements of FSC-STD-30-005 equally apply to eligible Organizations.

Data collection and reporting

2.5 The CB shall provide the following information to PSU:

- a) Evidence on robustness of the nationally adapted AP RFSS including its annexes to deliver on the FSC Principles and Criteria by comparing national requirements.
- b) Evidence showcasing impacts (positive and/or unintended impacts) to eligible Organizations (e.g., complexity of standard conformity or audit related costs), assessing non-conformities and resulting Corrective Action Requests, time, and financial resource intensiveness.
- c) Evidence on increasing certified area, number of certificates and/or number of group members due to AP RFSS.
- d) Evidence showcasing the transferability to other countries within the region and beyond.

2.6 For each evaluation, the CB shall collect the data according to the template in Annex II and Annex III 'Evaluation of indicator performance and audit cost – data collection for CB' to assess standard conformity and comparing the nationally adapted AP RFSS with the NFSS requirements.

NOTE: This data collection is only required for eligible Organizations.

2.7 The CB shall submit the evaluation report and the filled in templates to PSU within 3 months after the pilot test audit has been conducted.

Cost-benefit analysis

2.8 PSU will conduct a socio-economic cost-benefit study. Terms and conditions and further details will be agreed upon by Year 3 of the pilot test.

2.9 As input into this study, the CB and the eligible Organizations shall collect the data and provide these data to PSU, or any third party, commissioned by PSU, as specified below.

2.10 The CB shall calculate the difference in audit time auditing the nationally adapted AP RFSS compared to auditing the NFSS. Data from former audits shall be considered as baseline for comparison. The results shall be provided to PSU together with each audit using the template provided in Annex II.

2.11 The eligible Organizations shall:

- a) collect information on direct audit costs, such as travel expenses for the auditors. The data shall be compared with audit costs from former audits and the difference shall be provided in a percentage (aggregated information). This information shall be collected in the respective template (see Annex III 'Audit cost – data collection for CH') after each audit.
- b) collect information on costs related to standard conformity on annual base (see Annex IV 'Standard conformity costs'). Difference shall be provided in percentage compared to regular NFSS costs (aggregated information). This information shall be updated after every evaluation.

Figure I: Flow chart organogram on pilot test implementation phases and actions

| Process | Implementation | | | | | Documentation (required) | Time |
|--|----------------|---------|----|----|----|---|-----------------------------------|
| | FSC-PSU | FSC-CFF | NR | CB | CH | | |
| Preparatory phase Confirm readiness to run pilot test | | | | | | Confirmation letter Translation RFSS Availability resources | |
| National workshops | | | | | | Training modules Participants list | annually (+ on demand) |
| Registration for pilot test | | | | | | CH Declaration of Consent Registration | |
| Establish legal framework FSC-CB | | | | | | Framework Agreement Terms of Reference | |
| Implementation phase <u>Standard performance</u> Conducting audit AP RFSS and data collection | | | | | | | |
| AP RFSS reporting & certification decision | | | | | | Audit report | |
| Comparing AP RFSS vs NFSS | | | | | | | |
| Reporting comparison AP RFSS vs NFSS | | | | | | Performance & robustness AP RFSS | |
| <u>Cost & benefit analysis</u> Time comparison Collect audit cost comparison Collect fulfilling requirement cost comparison | | | | | | | per audit annually annually |
| Reporting cost & benefit analysis | | | | | | Cost & benefit of AP RFSS | After year 2 |
| Publishing performance & benefit analysis | | | | | | Performance & benefit of AP RFSS | After year 3 |

ANNEXES

- **Annex I** – Endnotes referencing to section 2.4 ‘Evaluating conformity with the AP RFSS’

a. Endnote ^{*1} - Interpretations of the normative framework (FOREST MANAGEMENT)

| | |
|--|-------------------|
| Code | INT-STD-20-007_53 |
| Requirement (s) | Clause 6.3.7 |
| Publication date | 03. December 2018 |
| <p>With the implementation of the National Forest Stewardship Standards (NFSS) based on version 5 of the FSC-STD-01-001 FSC Principles and Criteria, the number of criteria to be monitored during the period of validity of the certificate as required by FSC-STD-20-007 Clause 6.3.7 has increased significantly. For a certificate that has one or two surveillances left; it will be difficult to monitor all of them in the remaining period. How should certification bodies proceed in this situation?</p> <p>The certification bodies shall make a best attempt to cover the remaining criteria in the remaining period of validity of the certificate.</p> <p>If this is not feasible and there are just one or two surveillance evaluations left, a risk-based approach shall be taken focusing on the most relevant issues in the management unit. The approach taken shall be justified in the certification report.</p> <p>In the next certification cycle, the certification body shall monitor all aspects of the Forest Stewardship Standards (NFSS) according to FSC-STD-20-007 Clause 6.3.7.</p> | |

b. Endnote ^{*2} - FSC-STD-20-007a *Forest management evaluations addendum – Forest certification reports*

7 Surveillance

7.1 The results of all surveillance evaluations shall be documented in surveillance evaluation reports.

Box 2:

2 Standards

2.1 A clear explanation of any changes to the Forest Stewardship Standard used in the previous evaluation. The certificate holder shall be assessed against any elements of the standard that have changed since the previous evaluation.

c. Endnote ^{*3} - FSC-STD-20-007 *Forest management evaluations*

6.3.7 Surveillance shall include:

- a) evaluation of the certificate holder's compliance with all conditions (corrective actions) on which certification is based;
- b) review of any complaints or allegations of non-conformity with any aspect of the applicable Forest Stewardship Standards;
- c) evaluation of a sample of sites and records, and interviews with affected stakeholders sufficient to verify that management systems (documented or undocumented) are working effectively and consistently in practice, in the full range of management conditions present in the area under evaluation.

NOTE: The certification body may focus its surveillance during a particular annual surveillance evaluation on specific elements of the applicable Forest Stewardship Standard (e.g. those pertaining to particular FSC Principles or to particular aspects of management) with the provision that all aspects of the Forest Stewardship Standard are monitored during the period of validity of the certificate. Certification bodies may therefore focus on particular aspects of the forest management system reducing the time and cost of surveillance.

- **Annex II – Registry Information** (*This is a model, please fill in the EXCEL file ‘Comparison AP RFSS to NFSS for CBs’*)

| Base data for CBs to fill in for registry and Framework Agreement and Terms of Reference | |
|---|--|
| I. Registry information – To be filled in upon registry for pilot test participation. | |
| | – Please forward the information to FSC International, Email: communityfamilyforests@fsc.org |
| 1 | Certification body |
| | <i>Please include here the name of the legally registered name of the CB.</i> |
| | <i>Physical address:</i> |
| | <i>Contact person</i> |
| | <i>Email address:</i> |
| 2 | Information on Certificate Holder |
| | <i>Please include here the name of the legally registered name of the organization.</i> |
| | <i>Physical address:</i> |
| | <i>Contact person</i> |
| | <i>Email address:</i> |
| 3 | Certificate Code (if existent) <i>Please include here the Certificate Code.</i> |
| 4 | Location of the certificate holder/ applicant <i>Please fill in the physical address of the organization (e.g. group entity).</i> |
| 5 | Year within the certification cycle in 202X <i>Please select the year of the certification cycle (x):</i> |
| | Year 0 - Main evaluation/certification [] |
| | Year 1 [] |
| | Year 2 [] |
| | Year 3 [] |
| | Year 4 [] |
| | Year 5 - Re-evaluation [] |
| 6 | Type of evaluation for the calendar year 202X <i>Please select the evaluation carried out (x):</i> |
| | Main evaluation/certification [] |
| | Surveillance audit [] |
| | Annual documentation audit [] |
| | Re-evaluation [] |
| 7 | Timeline for audit for 202X <i>Please indicate when the evaluation will be carried out:</i> |
| | Date: [] |
| 8 | Characteristics of the FSC certificate holder/ applica <i>Please include here the following information:</i> |
| | Number of members: [] |
| | Number of members with MUs below 20 hectares: [] |
| | Area total (in hectares): [] |
| | Area total of MUs below 20 hectares: [] |
| | Mean area of MUs below 20 hectares: [] |
| | FSC Certification Code: [] |
| | First Issue Date: [] |
| | Last Issue Date: [] |
| | Expiry Date: [] |
| 9 | Scope (Product) <i>Please select the evaluation carried out:</i> |
| | Timber [] |
| | Non-timber forest products (NTFPs) [] |
| | Ecosystem Services [] |
| 10 | Declaration of consent <i>Please attach the declaration of consent by the CH to voluntarily participate in the pilot test and to agree on the terms and conditions outlined in the declaration.</i> |
| 11 | Data for auditing <i>Please fill in the estimate of per diems for one audit, as outlined above.</i> |
| | Number of auditors: |
| | Type of auditor (Lead, social expert, etc.): |
| | Number of per diems, estimated for the audit : |
| | Number of per diemss estimated for the pilot test : |
| | NOTE: Per diems include: 8 hours of working time (man day) plus any related expenses (travel costs, accomodation and food, if outside the country of residence/office) |

- **Annex III** – Evaluation of indicators performance and Audit cost – data collection for CB
(This is a model, please fill in the EXCEL file ‘Comparison AP RFSS to NFSS for CBs’)

| Standard AP RFSS Indonesia | | Auditing | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|
| Label | P&C VS-2 and AP RFSS Indicator | Date of audit | Type of audit | Non conformity | Corrective Action Request | Observation | Time needed to check on AP RFSS indicator(s) (minutes) | Time needed to compare AP RFSS with NFSS indicator(s) (minutes) |
| | | Please fill in (criterion level) | Please fill in (criterion level) | Please fill in (indicator level) | Please fill in (indicator level) | Please fill in (indicator level) | Please fill in (indicator level) | Please fill in (indicator level) |
| Principle | PRINCIPLE 1: COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS The Organization* shall* comply with all applicable laws*, regulations and nationally ratified* international treaties, conventions and agreements. | | | | | | | |
| Criterion | Criterion 1.1 The Organization* shall* be a legally defined entity with clear, documented and unchallenged legal registration*, with written authorization from the legally competent* authority for specific activities. | | | | | | | |
| Indicator | 1.1.1 The smallholder has appropriate evidence that confirms the smallholder's undisputed right to manage the forest and use the resources within the scope of the certificate. | | | | | | | |
| Criterion | 1.2 The Organization* shall* demonstrate that the legal* status of the Management Unit*, including tenure* and use rights*, and its boundaries, are clearly defined. | | | | | | | |
| Indicator | 1.2.1 The smallholder clearly shows the boundaries of his or her forest using maps, documents or other appropriate means on the ground and shows that the smallholder has the rights to all forest products produced on the smallholder's forest. Explanatory Note: This Indicator refers to the boundaries of the forest for which FSC certification is sought. The only products that can claim FSC certification are the timber and non-timber forest products from that forest. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Standard NFSS Indonesia | Pilot appraisal | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| NFSS Indicator | What would you evaluate differently, using NFSS indicator versus AP RFSS indicator? | What is a potential risk, that can occur, using AP RFSS indicator versus NFSS indicator?? | How probable is that risk to occur if the indicator(s) of RFSS versus NFSS are applied? | How severe is that risk? | What is the implication on the criterion level | What else would you like to tell us? | Time needed to reply to appraisal (minutes) |
| to compare with AP RFSS Indicators | Please explain | Please explain | (Rate on a scale from 1 to 5, where 1 is low and 5 is high) | (Rate on a scale from 1 to 5, where 1 is low and 5 is high) | Please explain | Please explain | Please fill in (indicator level) |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1.1.1 Legal registration* to carry out all activities within the scope of the certificate is documented and unchallenged. | | | | | | | |
| 1.1.2 Legal registration* is granted by an authorized authority according to legally prescribed processes. | | | | | | | |
| 1.2.1 Legal* tenure* to manage and use resources within the scope of the certificate is documented. | | | | | | | |
| 1.2.2 Legal* tenure* is granted by an authorized authority according to legally prescribed processes. | | | | | | | |
| 1.2.3 The boundaries of all Management Units* within the scope of the certificate are clearly marked or documented and clearly shown on maps. | | | | | | | |

- **Annex IV** – Audit cost – data collection for CB

(This is a model, please fill in the EXCEL file ‘Comparison AP RFSS to NFSS for CBs’)

| Cost comparison | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------|----------------|--|----------------|
| I. Cost related information – To be filled in upon auditing and pilot test reporting | | | | | |
| Cost items | Date | Principle | Real time need | Net value for appraisal and reporting (in USD) | Comment |
| | Please fill in by following the example | | Automatic fill | | Please outline |
| 1 Time needs for AP RFSS-cost for auditing (to be carried by CH) | 01.01.2022 | Principle 1 | 275 | | |
| | | Principle 2 | | | |
| | | Principle 3 | | | |
| | | Principle 4 | | | |
| | | Principle 5 | | | |
| | | Principle 6 | | | |
| | | Principle 7 | | | |
| | | Principle 8 | | | |
| | | Principle 9 | | | |
| | | Principle 10 | | | |
| 2 Additional time needs for auditing NFSS | 01.01.2022 | Principle 1 | 385 | | |
| | | Principle 2 | | | |
| | | Principle 3 | | | |
| | | Principle 4 | | | |
| | | Principle 5 | | | |
| | | Principle 6 | | | |
| | | Principle 7 | | | |
| | | Principle 8 | | | |
| | | Principle 9 | | | |
| | | Principle 10 | | | |
| 3 Time needs for pilot analysis/reporting | 01.01.2022 | Principle 1 | 126 | | |
| | | Principle 2 | | | |
| | | Principle 3 | | | |
| | | Principle 4 | | | |
| | | Principle 5 | | | |
| | | Principle 6 | | | |
| | | Principle 7 | | | |
| | | Principle 8 | | | |
| | | Principle 9 | | | |
| | | Principle 10 | | | |
| 4 Overall time needed for AP RFSS: (in minutes per date) | 01.01.2022 | | 275 | | |
| Overall per diems needed for AP RFSS Auditing: (in days, per date) | 01.01.2022 | | 0.57 | | |
| 5 Overall time needed for NFSS: (in minutes per date) | 01.01.2022 | | 385 | | |
| 6 Time difference between auditing AP RFSS versus NFSS (in minutes per date) | 01.01.2022 | | -110 | | |
| 7 Overall time needed for pilot appraisal: (in minutes per date) | 01.01.2022 | | 126 | | |
| 8 Overall per diems needed: (in days, per date) | 01.01.2022 | | 1.06 | \$319.38 | |

- **Annex IV** – Standard conformity – data collection for CH (under development)
- **Annex V** – Standard conformity – data collection for CH (under development)